

Application No.: 10/501,564
Amendment Dated: July 12, 2007
Reply to Office Action of: June 4, 2007

MAT-8574US

Remarks/Arguments:

Claims 1-36 are pending. Claims 1-35 stand rejected. The Examiner is thanked for the telephone interview scheduled on June 21, 2007 in which Examiner agreed that claim 36 included allowable subject matter.

By this Amendment, claims 1 and 20 are amended and claim 36 is cancelled without prejudice. The subject matter of allowable claim 36 has been incorporated into claims 1 and 20 to render all pending claims in the application allowable.

No new matter is presented by the claim amendments.

Rejection of Claims 1, 3 and 20 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

In the Office Action, at Item 2, claims 1, 3 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyer et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0203749, hereafter referred to as Lyer) in view of Flykt (WO 01-41395) in view of Inoue (U.S. Patent No. 6,587,882).

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Claims 1 and 20

Claims 1 and 20 have been amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 36.

Accordingly, it is submitted that claims 1 and 20 are now allowable.

Claim 3

Claim 3, which includes all of the limitations of claim 1, is also submitted to be allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

Rejection of Claims 2, 4-19, 21-28 and 35 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

In the Office Action, at Item 6, claims 2, 4-19, 21-18 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyer and Inoue in further view of Wenzel et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0073439).

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Application No.: 10/501,564
Amendment Dated: July 12, 2007
Reply to Office Action of: June 4, 2007

MAT-8574US

Since it is submitted that claims 1 and 20 are rendered allowable due to the incorporation of subject matter indicated by the Examiner to be allowable, claims 2, 4, 5-19, 21-28 and 35 are also submitted to be allowable for at least the same reasons as those of claims 1 or 20 from which they depend.

Rejection of Claims 14-19 and 29-34 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

In the Office Action, at item 16, claims 14-19 and 29-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyer, Flykt, Inoue and Wenzel in further view of Heller (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0147837).

Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Since it is submitted that claims 1 and 20 are allowable because of the incorporation of subject matter indicated by the Examiner to be allowable, it is also submitted that claims 14-19 and 29-34 are allowable for at least the same reasons as claims 1 and 20.

Conclusion

In view of the claim amendments and remarks, it is submitted the application is in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

RatnerPrestia



Lawrence E. Ashery, Reg. No. 34,515
Eric Berkowitz, Reg. No. 44,030
Attorneys for Applicants

LEA/EB/bj/ds

Dated: July 12, 2007

P.O. Box 980
Valley Forge, PA 19482
(610) 407-0700

Application No.: 10/501,564
Amendment Dated: July 12, 2007
Reply to Office Action of: June 4, 2007

MAT-8574US

The Commissioner for Patents is hereby authorized to charge payment to Deposit Account No. **18-0350** of any fees associated with this communication.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on:

July 12, 2007

Deborah Spratt



BJ/159517